## An Integrated Purpose Control Framework for Enhanced Privacy Protection

## **API-based System Implementation**

Hari Siswantoro - siswantoro@fbk.eu ST Retreat - October 21, 2014

## Outline

- Purpose control motivation
- Purpose-based user policy
- API-based implementation
- Summary

# **Purpose Control Motivation**

- Explicitly regulated by major legislations
- Lack of user participation
- Consider usability

# The CNIL's Sanctions Committee issues a 150 000 € monetary penalty to GOOGLE Inc.

Yet, it considers that the conditions under which this single policy is implemented are contrary to several legal requirements:

The company does not sufficiently inform its users of the conditions in which their personal data are processed, nor of the purposes of this processing. They may therefore neither understand the purposes for which their data are collected, which are not specific as the law requires, nor the ambit of the data collected through the different services concerned. Consequently, they are not able to exercise their rights, in particular their right of access, objection or deletion.



#### Use Case Example

# Purpose-based User Policy

<recipients> CAN <actions> FOR <purposes> [IF <gen\_conditions>] [PROVIDED <provisions>] [FOLLOW <obligations>]

where:

- recipient can be the requesting apps
- action is the set of actions
- purpose is the allowed intended purposes
- generic conditions, provisions and obligations are optional



### **API-based Implementation: SmartCampus**



4. GET S1/academic\_transcript?userIds={1,2,3,..,10}





#### **API** Manager Architecture

## Summary

- Purpose control requirements: pre and post-release policy, involving user
- Challenge: post-release audit to multi parties system
- Future plan: protocol based purpose control framework



